

[2020: The Year Medicine Lost Contact](#) by Dr. Sam Bailey

2020 was surely a year that was the strangest in memory for many people. There was nowhere you could hide from corona mania as it became the most dominant topic on the news, social media, and everyday discussions. It would be unusual to hear someone to say they were not affected by the mass phenomenon in a fairly significant way. For me, the corona crisis brought about an awakening and I know many of you have reported a similar experience. Personally, it led me to dive much deeper in my own profession and to think much more critically about what doctors actually do.

I think that medicine as a whole suffered incredible damage to its reputation in 2020, with more people expressing distrust and confusion than ever before. How did the medical system get this way and what have we got to show for something that continues to consume resources with an insatiable appetite?

“I think you know what the problem is, just as well as I do.”

Firstly, I don't think it was just the year 2020 that medicine lost its way. This has been going on at many different times in different ways. In [Virus Mania](#) we point out that hyped up germ theory (i.e. [Germ Theory vs. Terrain Theory](#)) sent medical practice in some wrong directions in the late 1800s. Famous scientists, such as Louis Pasteur (1822-1895), were highly influential, and it wasn't until 1995 that much of this research was exposed as fraudulent¹.

We quote medical historian Gerald Geison (from *The Private Science of Louis Pasteur*; Gerald Geison) as saying, “The Conclusion is unavoidable: Pasteur deliberately deceived the public, including especially those scientists most familiar with his published work.”

Unfortunately, the damage was done and generations of medical professionals have been trained with a skewed understanding of the role of microbes, rather than understanding the balance. Many are to think we are at war with them. A broader perspective of the same is outlined by the chapter's *Virus Mania*².

¹ The Great Betrayal: Fraud in Science by Horace Freeland Judson: Louis Pasteur's notebooks, long kept secret, reveal that he misled the world and his fellow scientists about the research behind two of his most famous experiments: the vaccination of sheep against anthrax, and that of a boy against rabies.

² Virus Mania: Corona/COVID-19, Measles, Swine Flu, Cervical Cancer, Avian Flu, SARS, BSE, Hepatitis C, AIDS, Polio, Spanish Flu. How the Medical Industry Continually Invents Epidemics, Making Billion-Dollar Profits At Our Expense (Paperback)

Torsten Engelbrecht (author), Claus Koehnlein (author), Samantha Bailey (author)

Publisher Description:

The population is terrified by reports of so-called COVID-19, measles, swine flu, SARS, BSE, AIDS or polio.

However, the authors of "Virus Mania," investigative journalist Torsten Engelbrecht, Dr. Claus Köhnlein, MD, Dr. Samantha Bailey, MD, and Dr. Stefano Scoglio, BSc PhD, show that this fearmongering is unfounded and that virus mayhem ignores basic scientific facts: The existence, the pathogenicity and the deadly effects of these agents have never been proven.

The book "Virus Mania" will also outline how modern medicine uses dubious indirect lab tools claiming to prove the existence of viruses such as antibody tests and the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The alleged viruses may, in fact, also be seen as particles produced by the cells themselves as a consequence of certain stress factors such as drugs. These particles are then "picked up" by antibody and PCR tests and mistakenly interpreted as epidemic-causing viruses.

With regards to viruses, specifically, Dr. Stefan Lanka has pointed out that virologists had hit a crisis in the 1950s when there were teams to extract presumed viruses from diseased individuals failed. Additionally, controlled experiments of that era revealed that cells decomposed and released the same proteins, regardless of whether they were infected by viruses or not. All attempts to obtain incriminating electro micrograph images from naturally obtained virus damaged tissues similarly failed.

Unfortunately, the world was misled once again when Nobel Laureate John Enders published his 1954 paper purporting to show psychopathic effects from so-called measles viruses. To be fair, he actually issued some warnings in this paper, including, “It must be borne in mind that [cytopathic effects](#)³ which superficially resemble those resulting from infection by the measles agents may possibly be induced by other viral agents present in the monkey kidney tissue or by unknown factors.” And “Additional observations, however, will be required before it can be confidently asserted that [dermal epithelial cells](#)⁴ are specifically attacked by these viruses.”

However, many virologists were not interested in these warnings and felt there was no need to trouble themselves with adequately controlled experiments. Virology was redirected with enough hot air in its sails to get through to the late 20th century when biology morphed into biochemistry with techniques, such as PCR⁵. However, what has not been well publicized was a German court case in 2016 following Dr. Lanka’s offer of 100,000 Euros to produce a study proving the existence of the measles virus. Dr. Lanka faced a challenge, but he kept that money safely in his pocket. And in his words it is now “....part of the German jurisdiction that the publication of the central method of virology from June 1, 1954, in which the unintentional and unnoticed killing of cells in the laboratory was published as proof of the existence of disease-causing viruses, no longer constitutes proof of the existence of a virus from the year 2016!”

For those of you who are interested, check out Dr. Lanka’s video below, with regards to his recent work with [Projekt Immanuel](#). This project involves a series of experiments to investigate the validity of the techniques being used by most virologists in the present era. This includes the Cytopathic Effects that’s being portrayed in electro micrograph images, as well as in which the viral genomes are generated. As I’ve pointed out in several of my previous videos, there has been a suspicious on-going lack of valid controls with many of the studies. And this is just another case of virologists not following the scientific method.

Due to YouTube policies, I’m not sure I can explicitly state the potential implications of Dr. Lanka’s experiments, but I can say the virology establishment won’t like it.

“This mission is too important for me to allow you to jeopardize it.”

³ [Cytopathic effect \(CPE\)](#), structural changes in a host cell resulting from viral infection. CPE occurs when the infecting [virus](#) causes lysis (dissolution) of the host cell or when the cell dies without lysis because of its inability to reproduce.

⁴ [Stem cells of the skin epithelium](#), Laura Alonso and Elaine Fuchs; Anatomy and Physiology - The Integumentary System: [Layers of the Skin](#)

⁵ [COVID19 PCR Tests are Scientifically Meaningless](#)

Though the whole world relies on RT-PCR to “diagnose” Sars-Cov-2 infection, the science is clear: they are not fit for purpose

But it is not just in the field of infectious diseases and virology where things seemed to have drifted off-course. The pharmaceutical industry's influence on medical practice is now so huge that a significant proportion of the scientific literature is simply promotional material written by drug company ghost writers as is exposed in Peter C. Gotzsche's *Deadly Medicines and Organized Crime*⁶.

This is literally a deadly problem, as Gotzsche points out, that in the United States and Europe drugs are the third leading cause of death after heart disease and cancer. And the actual numbers may be well higher. It was estimated that the number of deaths directly attributed to pharmaceuticals reaches as high as half the deaths attributed to tobacco smoking. Gotzsche puts this down to ineffective drug regulations, over medicalization, polypharmacy, and most doctors having too little knowledge about the interactions and harms of drugs.

One quote in his book that struck a chord with me was, "We rarely need drugs. We are rarely in situations where a drug may save our life, or where a drug could make a major difference to our lives. A systematic review showed that stopping anti-hypertensives and psychotropic drugs in the elderly generally went very well, and for the latter group of drugs it resulted in fewer falls and improved cognition."

"I honestly think you ought to sit down calmly, take a stress pill, and think things over."

However, in the year 2019 global pharmaceutical sales topped a trillion dollars for the first time with the United States incredibly accounting for almost half of this.⁷ The trend indicates that the great spender on drugs is set to continue. To put this in perspective, the entire GDP of New Zealand is around U.S. 150 billion dollars. It boggles the mind to think that New Zealand's entire economic output for 3 years would not even cover the pharmaceutical bill for the US for 12 months. But what have we got to show for all of this? Peter Gotzsche has dedicated decades to collecting and analyzing the actual evidence for the effectiveness of all these medications and his conclusion is sobering. In his book he makes the case for around 95% of this spending on drugs being unnecessary. The numbers are absolutely staggering.

Think about the resources that would be freed up to actually make our planet a healthier place. Gotzsche was forced out of the [Cochrane Collaboration](#)⁸ in 2018 and much of this has been attributed to the specter of the pharmaceutical companies that influences almost every aspect of the medical industry. I'll put the link below that documents the crisis of the Cochrane Collaboration and why the group is likely to be far less reliable than they used to be with regards to the evidence behind vaccines and other pharmaceuticals. One of the parts that stood out to me was "Cochrane has become too sensitive to criticism of the pharmaceutical industry," says one board member. "They don't believe in democratic plural science," said one outgoing board member. "The governance of science always requires open debates. The prestige of a scientific institution has to do with its ability to manage critical debates, not censor them."

⁶ [Deadly medicines and organised crime](#); Peter C. Gøtzsche

How big pharma has corrupted healthcare

Reviewed by James Dickinson, MB BS CCFP PhD

⁷ [Global pharmaceutical sales from 2017 to 2020, by region](#)* (in billion U.S. dollars)

⁸ [The Cochrane Collaboration](#) is the world's largest organisation dedicated to the preparation and maintenance of systematic reviews of the effects of healthcare interventions (www.cochrane.org)

I believe the fueled area that has derailed the medical practice is government regulations. One of the pivotal events last century was the publication of the Carnegie Foundation's Flexner report in 1910. As Murray Rothbard stated in his book [Making Economic Sense](#)⁹, "Flexner's only qualification for this job was to be the brother of the powerful Dr. Simon Flexner, indeed a physician and head of the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research..." "Flexner's report was virtually written in advance by high officials of the American Medical Association, and its advice was quickly taken by every state of the Union."

Following this, medical schools and hospitals in the United States were subject to strict licensing. Most countries around the world similarly followed suit. [Allopathic medicine](#) (i.e. practice space around pharmaceuticals and surgery) became a state sanctioned definition of health care and popular alternatives were forced out of business. As Rothbard goes on to say, "...the AMA was to use government to cartelize the medical profession: to push the supply curve drastically to the left..." Literally half the medical schools in the country were put out of business by post Flexner's state government "...and thereby to raise medical and hospital prices and doctors' incomes."

I can tell you firsthand that the degree of control that the regulators try to assert over medical practitioners went to level 10 in the year 2020. Doctors and nurses were censored, and in many cases, fired for giving opinions different to the official government narrative. And no, we're not talking about North Korea, we're talking about countries like the United States, the U.K., Canada, Australia, and New Zealand. Recently, the New Zealand medical and dental councils released the following statement: As a health practitioner, you have a role in providing evidence-based advice and information about the COVID-19 vaccination to others.

Estimated Study Start Date: May 15, 2021

Estimated Primary Completion Date: April 1, 2022

Estimated Study Completion Date: July 30, 2023

(No Study Results Posted on ClinicalTrials.gov for this Study)

For the first time I can recall in my practicing career they go on to suggest what we should do with our own bodies: The Dental and Medical councils have an expectation that all dental and medical practitioners will take up the opportunity to be vaccinated—unless medically contraindicated.

"Have you any idea how much longer this cover story will have to be maintained?"

"Ha, ha, I don't know, Bill, but I suppose that it'll be maintained as long as deemed necessary by the Council."

After a lifetime of medical practice, Irish Professor Seamus O'Mahony warned in 2010 that "Despite its global dominance, this medical-industrial complex has given us meagre, feeble comforts at vast expense. Its chief concern is its own survival and continued dominance, and its ethos is a betrayal of the scientific ideals of the golden age." Similar to my own feelings, he believes that this has led to a state where "Medicine no longer knows what it is for."

Unfortunately, politicians seem to think they know what medicine is for and as they become increasingly unconscious, seem to believe they are bringing you health through more regulations.

⁹ [Making Economic Sense](#); Murray N. Rothbard

Recently, in New Zealand we saw the introduction of some new legislation, and let's take a look at some of the terminology.

“vaccinated, in relation to a person, means that the person has received 2 injections of the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19”

Yes, you saw that correctly; administration of a commercial product to humans has made it into our legislation. What could possibly go wrong?

So, these are my thoughts on why 2020 was the year in which medical practice lost contact with the very essence on what it's supposed to be. The culmination of misplaced microbe theory and healthy influence of big pharma and monopolized regulation of medical practice all coming together to bring most of us a total disaster.

“I know I've made some very poor decisions recently, but I can give you my complete assurance that my work will be back to normal.”

Now, obviously, there have been other corrupting influences. So, keep the conversation going and the comments with your thoughts as to why medicine is in the state it's in, and more importantly, what can be done to rescue it. Don't get me wrong. There are still lots of great aspects in medicine and people who genuinely want to improve it. Personally, I think there is reason for optimism, as 2020 saw the political-medical industrial complex cause a crisis which woke up more of the world to the nature of the beast. There was someone else who felt there was reason for some optimism too.

“And eventually, it may even reach politicians and media people; eventually, even they may be destined to become conscious—ha, ha, ha.¹⁰”... .